

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated August 9, 2006. Claims 22, 27, 30-32, and 34 are presented for examination, of which Claims 22 and 27 are in independent form. Claims 11-21, 23-26, 28, 29, and 33 have been canceled, and the recitations of Claim 33 have been incorporated into its base Claim 22 and into Claim 27; these actions are taken without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. The canceled claims will not be further addressed herein. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

First, the Office Action does not respond to Applicant's three prior requests for priority acknowledgment. As Applicant has pointed out previously, this application is a divisional application of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/094,722, filed on June 15, 1998, now issued as U.S. Patent 6,674,537. A certified copy of the foreign priority document was filed on August 8, 1998, in the parent application, as can be confirmed from the PAIR system. Applicant respectfully requests acknowledgment of receipt of the certified copy of the foreign priority document.

Nonetheless, Applicant notes that should the Office not respond to this request, Applicant will understand such non-response as meaning that the patent that issues from this application will correctly reflect the above-indicated continuity and foreign-priority data.

Claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claim 27 has been carefully reviewed and amended as deemed necessary to ensure that it conforms fully to the requirements of Section 112, second paragraph, with special attention to the points raised in paragraph 4 of the Office Action. Specifically, Claim 27 has

been amended to recite “selecting, from among a plurality of languages, a language identified by the language information received in said receiving step.” It is believed that the rejection under Section 112, second paragraph, has been obviated, and its withdrawal is therefore respectfully requested.

Applicant notes with appreciation the indication that Claim 33 would be allowable if rewritten so as not to depend from a rejected base claim, and with no change in scope. Independent Claim 22 has been amended to include all the recitations of Claim 33. Independent Claim 27 is a method claim corresponding to apparatus Claim 22, and has been similarly amended.

Accordingly, all pending claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance.

This Amendment After Final Action is believed clearly to place this application in condition for allowance, by addressing the informality in Claim 27, and adopting the Examiner’s suggestion as to allowable subject matter. Accordingly, entry of this Amendment is believed proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116. Should the Examiner believe that issues remain outstanding, he is respectfully requested to contact Applicant’s undersigned attorney in an effort to resolve such issues and advance the case to issue.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and an early issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leonard P Diana/
Leonard P. Diana
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 29,296

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 592854v1